

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,803	12/12/2003	Ju-Long Lee	B-5325 621558-0 3872	
7590 02/28/2005		· EXAMINER		
Richard P. Berg, Esq. c/o LADAS & PARRY			VU, PHU	
Suite 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5670 Wilshire Boulevard			2871	
Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/735,803	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phu Vu	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/03/2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-3, 6-7, 12-18 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>4,5,8-13,19 and 20</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Address of the Control of the Contro						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/735,803

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-3, 6-7, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cheng et. al US Publication No 2002/0054248. Cheng teaches a flat panel display comprising a plurality of gate lines (fig. 3 element 22) and plurality of data lines (fig. 3 element 21) intersecting to define a plurality of pixel regions (fig. 3 area between data lines and gate lines), a plurality of pixel electrodes disposed in the pixel regions respectively, a plurality of shielding metal lines disposed between the pixel electrodes and data lines in the pixel regions respectively, and at least two first backup (fig. 3 elements A & B) wires across the adjacent first shielding metal line and data line wherein the first backup wires are isolated from the data lines or the first shielding lines or isolated from both of them.

Regarding claims 2 and 6, there is a conductor that connects the shield line to the data line formed at points A and B of figure 3. Since it connects the shield line to the data line and vice versa these can both be considered 'backup wires' that extend from the shield and data line. Therefore, this limitation is inherent.

Regarding claims 3 and 7, the overlap points of the first backup wires and the first shielding metal lines act as a plurality of repair points (see fig. 3 elements A & B).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,803

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14-18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheng as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Yang et. al US Patent 6,628,368.

Regarding claim 14, Cheng teaches all the limitations of claim 14 except a plurality of second shielding metal lines disposed between pixel electrodes and the gate lines in the pixel regions respectively each of the first shielding metal line separated from the others and at least two backup wires across the adjacent second shielding metal line and gate lines or the second shielding metal lines or isolated from both of them. Cheng does however teach this same structure with the data line in place of the gate line. Yang teaches a similar redundant line structure that where the same repair structure that is used on the date lines is also applied to the gate lines to allow for the repair of gate lines in addition to the data lines (see Yang cover figure and abstract). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to incorporate repair features from the data lines to the gate lines to have added ability to repair the gate lines.

Regarding claim 15 and 17, the primary reference shows a connection between the data line and shielding line (fig. 3 point A and B) and therefore will extend from each

Regarding claims 16 and 18, the primary reference shows the overlapping point as the repair point (see fig. 3 points A and B). Also, as presented in claim 14 the data line and gate line can under the same repair methods, which was previously addressed (see claim 14 rejection).

Regarding claim 22, the only limitation not previously addressed is in the event of a defect, the backup wires are electrically connected between the data lines and first shield line, and a second set electrically connected to the second shield line and gate line. This limitation is inherent to the primary reference since it shows an electrical connection between a shield line and broken data line (see fig. 3 elements A and B). Motivation to apply this technique to another gate line and shield line was previously addressed in claim 14 rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-5, 8-13, and 19-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 10-13, and 19-20, there is no prior art of record that teaches the flat panel display as in claim 1 with backup lines isolated from both the line to be repaired (gate or data) and the corresponding shield line.

Regarding claim 4 and 8, there is no prior art of record that teaches a flat panel display as in claim 1 with the first shielding metal line with backup wires the first

Application/Control Number: 10/735,803

Art Unit: 2871

shielding metal layer, and gate lines in a first layer and the data lines in a second metal

layer.

Regarding claims 5 and 9, there is no prior art of record that teaches a flat

panel display as in claim 1 with the first shielding metal line with data lines in a first

metal layer and first backup wires, first shielding lines, and gate lines in the second

metal layer.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

ROBERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER COOK

Page 5